CONTINUITY AND DISCONTINUITY IN PSYCHOPATHOLOGY: ADHD

M. DANCKAERTS, UPC-KULEUVEN

www.ADHDynamisch.be



Dieter Baeyens Marina Danckaerts Jurgen Lemiere Steven Stes Saskia VanderOord Nady Van Broeck

Age trends in psychopathology

ADHD ODD-CD Autisme Separation-anxiety Attachment Disorders Psychosis Bipolar disorder Anxiety disorders Personality disorders Substance use disorders

Depression

Eatino disorders

Trauma developmental disorde

Childhood

Adolescence

Adulthood

Costello ea 2011

Developmental course of

depression

Developmental Course of Rates of Clinical Depression by Age and Gender From a Prospective Community Birth Cohort Study*



* More girls than boys begin to become depressed after age 13, and girls become substantially more depressed than boys during middle-late adolescence (ages 15-18).

Source: Hankin BL. Abramson LY, Moffitt TE, McGee R, Silva PA, Angeli KE. Development of depression from preadolescence to young adulthood: emerging gender differences in a 10-year longitudinal study. J Abnorm Psychol. 1998;107:128-140. Reprinted with permission. Copyright 1998, the American Psychological Association.

Antipsychotica Aantal verbruikers / 1000 in 2007 in **Nederland**:



Methylphenidate ~ Age



Development in real time...



Fig. 1. The stages of brain development (top) and different windows of vulnerability (bottom). Developmental processes occur in phases, setting the stage for potential periods of vulnerability. Insults early in life (bottom) will be assimilated into innervation patterns, whereas a later pre-pubertal insult will cause functional changes that are more adaptive.

Continuity & Discontinuity in ADHD

Developmental course ?
Determinants of continuity ?
Determinants of discontinuity
Treatment effects?



Continuity & Discontinuity in ADHD

Oevelopmental outcome:

 Is ADHD a childhood risk factor for negative developmental outcomes in young adulthood ?

Oevelopmental course

 Does ADHD symptomatology persist into adulthood with its associated impairment ?

ADHD: DEVELOPMENTAL OUTCOME

Developmental outcome

Review of 351 outcome studies (1980-2010)



M.Danckaerts UPC-KUL

Shaw ea 2012, Biomed Central

Psychiatric outcome ?

Cumulative risk by age 21, controlled for baseline psychopathology

• ODD:	78 % vs	20 % controls
• CD:	46 % vs	16 % controls
• MDD:	46 % vs	7 % controls
 Tics 	43 % vs	7 % controls
• ASPD:	29 % vs	9 % controls
• BPD:	29 % vs	3 % controls

Substance use disorders: meta-analysis Nicotine dependence



Other Substance use disorders (abuse or dependence)

Alcohol:	OR 1.74
Marijuana:	OR 1.58
Cocaine:	OR 2.05
General illicit drugs:	OR 2.64

Conclusion: Childhood ADHD predictive of substance use disorders

This relationship may be partially or fully explained by comorbid conduct problems.

S.S. Lee et al. / Clinical Psychology Review 31 (2011)

Academic risk

Vocational

Four-Year

Junior/Community

Behavioural

	%	Controls	ADHD
	Held back	13	42
	Suspended	19	60
	Expelled	6	14
	High school dropout	5	35
	ADHD		Comparison
	N=264 %	6	N=185 %
Post-hi	igh school education		
No So	chool 26.9		4.9

18.6

25.0

29.5

Controlled for IQ and parental education

Academic:

Barkley ea 2002, Kuriyan ea 2012

5.9

12.4

76.8

Occupational outcome

%	ADHD	Controls
Ever been fired	61	43
Ever been laid off	33	13
Quit due to dislike	53	36
Salary per hour (\$)	14,2	16,5
		ADHD
		<i>N</i> =142
		%
Hollingshead Level		
Group 1 (Unskilled)		72.5
Group 2 (Clerical)		21.8
Group 3 (Professional)		5.6
	M	Danckaerts LIPC-KUI

Developmental outcome

Sexual & reproductive outcomes

- Earlier intercourse
- More sexual partners
- Less use of contraception
- Higher rates of
 - Teenage pregancy (OR: 42)
 - Less than half have custody
 - Sexually transmitted diseases (OR: 4)



M.Danckaerts UPC-KUL

Barkley ea 2002, Hazell 2007

Developmental outcome Screen addiction: Addiction = preoccupation, urge, withdrawal symptoms, causing impairment

	ADHD	No ADHD	
Problematic Internet use	33 %	1.5 – 8%	



2010

Males = females

Yoo ea 2004, Ko ea 2008, Han ea 2009, Aboujaoude 2010, Weinstein & Lejoyeux

Developmental outcome

Driving-related risks:

- o driving without licence
- Iicence revocations
- at fault for crashes
- o citations for traffic violations
 - Speeding
 - Failure to stop

(37 vs 11%) (23 vs 0%) (49 vs 11%) (77 vs 47%)



Cox ea 2004 a,b

Delinquency

Mordre et al. BMC Psychiatry 2011: • 541 Child Psychiatric inpatients FU 19-41 after hospitalization • 24% had been convicted: • Predictors: Conduct Disorder: RR 2 Hyperkinetic ConductDisorder: **RR 2.7** Male gender: **RR 3.6 Chronic Family problems RR 1.3** ADHD = emotional dis = attachment disRR < 1 PDD, MR:

Continuity and discontinuity

Oevelopmental outcome DATA

 Diagnosis of ADHD in adults: demonstrated to be valid and associated with impairment



ADHD: DEVELOPMENTAL COURSE

ADHD prevalence worldwide

HOH

0

Gender

Age

Male (44 studies) Female (40 studies)

Children (43 studies) Adolescents (23 studies)

Geographic Location

Africa (4 studies) Middle East (4 studies) Oceania (6 studies) South America (9 studies) Asia (15 studies) North America (32 studies) Europe (32 studies) Worldwide (102 studies)



Developmental Course Epidemiological follow-up studies

Study		Method	Sources	Age range	%
Verhulst ea 1985 Verhulst ea 1997	NL	Ratings Interview	Teacher Parents	8 & 11 13-18	9.5 1.8
Esser ea 1990	D	Ratings Interview	Parents	8 13	4 2
Gomez ea 1994	E	Interview	Teacher Parents	8 11 15	14.4 5.3 3

Developmental Course

Clinical follow-up studies:

	Follow-up	Age-range	persistence
Barkley ea 1990	8y	12-20y	71.5%
Biederman ea 1996	4y	9-22y	85%
August ea 1998	5y	12-15y	69%
Steinhausen ea 2003	2.6y	10-16y	46%

Developmental course

Meta-analysis of persistence in FU-studies:

50-60 %

- Adolescence:
- Adults at 25y: 10-66%
 - 15% full syndrome
 - 65% partial syndrome

Predictors of persistence

- Familiality of ADHD
- Psychiatric comorbidity
- Psychosocial adversity

Faraone ea, Psychological Medicine, 2006, 36, 159–165

Symptom decline & gender



ADHD symptom decline accelerates with age

Is the same in both sexes

Stability of comorbid psychopathology is greater in females

Figure 1 Predicted change in ADHD symptoms across development in male and female youth

Monutaux ea Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 51:3 (2010)

Adult ADHD prevalence

Worldwide estimates: 1.2 – 7.3 % Prevalence Belgium: 4.1 %



Kessler et al. 2006; Fayyad et al. 2007; Lara et al. 2008 M.Danckaerts UPC-KUL 28

Problem: Continuity /discontinuity

- Prospective FU from childhood:
 - Low persistence rates of full syndrome 1%
- Prevalence in adults:
 - Higher prevalence
- Explanation ?
 - Changing fenotype of same genotype ?
 - Partial Syndromes ? Disfunction with less symptoms ?
 - New genotype ?
 - Different rater (self versus parent/teacher) different perception ?

4%

Do symptoms of hyperactivity manifest differently in adults ? US perspective:

<u>Aimless</u> restlessness often migrates to <u>purposeful</u> restlessness

DSM IV Symptom Domain

- Squirms and fidgets
- Can't stay seated
- Runs/climbs excessively
- Can't play/work quietly
- "On the go" / "Driven by motor"
- Talks excessively

Common Adult Manifestation

- Adaptive behavior
 - Work two jobs/long hours
 - Select very active job
- Constant activity leading to family tension
- Often felt rather than manifested

American Psychiatric Association. 1994. 83-85. ADHD in Adulthood 1999, Weiss, Hechtman and Weiss

Do symptoms of impulsivity manifest differently in adults ?

Impulsivity in adulthood often carries more serious consequences

DSM IV Symptom Domain

- Blurts out answers
- Can't wait turn
- Intrudes/interrupts others

Common Adult Manifestation

- Low frustration tolerance
 - Quitting a job
 - Ending a relationship
 - Losing temper
 - Driving too fast

American Psychiatric Association. 1994. 83-85, ADHD in Adulthood 1999, Weiss, Hechtman and Weiss

Do symptoms of inattention manifest differently in adults ?

Many adults do not complain of attentional problems because they have compensated to some extent

DSM IV Symptom Domain

- Difficulty sustaining attention
- Doesn't listen
- No follow through
- Can't organize
- Loses important items
- Easily distractible, forgetful

Common Adult Manifestation

- Poor time management
- Difficulty
 - Initiating/completing tasks
 - Changing to another task when required
- Adaptive behavior
 - Self select lifestyle
 - Support staff

American Psychiatric Association. 1994. 83-85., ADHD in Adulthood 1999, Weiss, Hechtman and Weiss

DSM-5: ADHD adaptations

	DSM-IV	DSM-5	
Examples of	Difficulty sustaining attention in tasks or play activities	Idem (eg. during lectures, conversation or during lengthy readings)	
adaptations	Is forgetful	For adolesc. & adults:returning calls, paying bills, keeping appointments	
r		Tends to act without thinking	
New impulsiveness items		Often impatient	
		Uncomfortable doing things slowly and systematic	
		Difficult to resist temptations or opportunities	

DSM-5: ADHD adaptations

New subtype: restrictive inattentive type • Age of onset: before 12 years (>< 7 jaar) From 17y: 4/9 symptoms (>< 6/9) • Sources: parents and teachers or other "third party" whenever possible "clear proof of disfunction Impact: socially, school- or job functioning ASS no exclusiecriterion • Exclusion:

Problem: Continuity /discontinuity

- Prospective FU from childhood:
 - Low persistence rates of full syndrome 1%
- Prevalence in adults:
 - Higher prevalence
- Explanation ?
 - Changing fenotype of same genotype ?
 - Partial Syndromes ? Disfunction with less symptoms ?
 - New genotype ?
 - Different rater (self versus parent/teacher) different perception ?

4%

Neuropsychological profiles



Developmental neuropsychological profiles: Childhood Adolescence / Young adulthood

		PERSISTERS	REMITTERS
Working Memory	XXX	X	
Word processing	XXX	X	
CPT errors	XXX	X	
CPT variability & state regulation	XXX	X	X
actigraph	XX	X	X

- Primary deficits:
 - perceptual sensitivity (prepotent stimuli)
 - State regulation (hypo-activation) / hypo-arousal
- Epiphenomena:
 - Effortful control / executive functioning

M.Danckaerts UPC-KUL

Halperin ea 2008, JCPP

Developmental hypothesis ?

- Adult subcortical disfunction remains stable regardless of adult symptom persistence
- Adult cortical disfunction is associated with persistence / remittance of symptoms

OR: persistence of symptomatology will depend on whether prefrontally mediated EF (developing during childhood & adolescence) can compensatie for the more primary subcortical deficits

Developmental Neuroanatomy: Cortical thickness



ADHD ~ delay in cortical maturation And: differential clinical outcome ~ differential trajectories

M.Danckaerts UPC-KUL

Shaw ea 2007

Developmental neuroanatomy: genetic mediation ?



Developmental neuroanatomy: Outcome ~ brain development



Shaw ea 2006

Figure 2. Contrasts between patients with attention-dencit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) with differing outcomes and controls. A, The ristatistical maps of pairwise contrasts using persistence/remission of ADHD as the outcome measure. B, The r maps using Children's Global Assessment Scale scores as the outcome measure. Adjustment is made for IQ and mean cortical thickness.

Relationship developmental course & outcome Proal ea 2012: 33y follow-up: Continuing grey matter deficits Cortical thinning in dorsal attentional networks N. Caudatus, Cerebellar hemispheres No relationship with caseness

Problem: Continuity /discontinuity

- Prospective FU from childhood:
 - Low persistence rates of full syndrome 1%
- Prevalence in adults:
 - Higher prevalence
- Explanation ?
 - Changing fenotype of same genotype ?
 - Partial Syndromes ? Disfunction with less symptoms ?
 - New genotype ?
 - Different rater (self versus parent/teacher) different perception ?

4%



Developmental genetics

• Cross sectional twin studies:

- High heritability in childhood :
- Lower heritability in adulthood:

Parent & Teacher ratings 0.70 - 0.80 0.30 - 0.40

Self-ratings

Prospective twin studies:

- When cross-informant ratings are used: higher heritability is found in adult ADHD: 0.80
- Both developmentally stable and dynamic (i.e. different genetic effects operating at different ages) are demonstrated

Chang ea, CJAMA Psychiatry., Published online January 2, 2013

Treatment effects on continuity / discontinuity ?

Medication effects on brain development Meta-analysis: 14 datasets: 378 ADHD (202 ch&adol) vs 344 CON; 55% on medication



- In general: decreased grey matter; most consistent in n.caudatus:
- AGE and USE OF MEDICATION were independent predictors of normal volumes

Nakao ea. Am J P sychiatry 2011, 168, 1154-1163

Medication effects on brain development

6 studies > 10 months: ADHD +/- medication:

- 2 no neuro-anatomical differences
- 4 more normalisation with medication:
 - N. caudatus
 - White matter
 - Cortex thickness
 - G. cingularis anterior
- None of the studies shows deterioration
- Mechanism? Direct effect or "use it or loose it" ?

Belgium: Pharmaco-epidemiology: 2007-2011



49

Belgium: proportion of +18y

incraacae

Hair of the patients taking ADHD drugs are under the age of sixteen, with 30% of them between six and twelve

The share and amount of older patients seems to be increasing, looking at the shift in age over the previous 3 years



ADHD patient split per age group (MAT Mar 2010, 2011 and 2012)

Conclusions:

- Problematic developmental outcome of childhood ADHD
- Applying same criteria: discontinuity & continuity
- Discontinuity seems a linear process starting in early adolescence
- DSM-5 adaptations will (artificially ?) increase "continuity"
- Neurodevelopment suggests partial normalization or compensation
- Twin studies suggest rater bias and new genes coming into action
- Treatment may foster some normalization
- Proportion of "treated" adults in Belgium increases

"In the patiënt's best interest?

 How much "disfunctioning" / "suffering" is necessary to reach the threshold of caseness?



"Zolang men gezond is, existeert men niet"

De wreedheid van de pijn – genieten van het lijden, omdat je geniet van je eigen, met het wezen van het leed verbonden persoonlijkheid. Het laatste toevluchtsoord voor de honger naar leven en de dorst naar genot (F. Pessoa)

M.Danckaerts 2012

Transition of care from adolescence to adulthood • UK 3-tier model based on complexity: Tier 1: follow-up by GP (specialist back-up) • Tier 2: follow-up by GP + coach • Tier 3: follow-up by specialist Re-assessment at school leaving age Transition period Autonomy / dependence

Young et al. BMC Psychiatry 2011, 11:174